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## Satisfiability modulo theories

$\varphi$ is from an existentially quantified first-order logic.
■ Fully automated solving
■ Common theories: arithmetic (linear / nonlinear, real / integer), arrays, bitvectors, uninterpreted functions, ...

- Combinations of theories


## Fundamental idea: SAT vs. Theory
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## Digression: SAT solving

- $\varphi$ is propositional
- DPLL-style SAT solving

■ Combines enumeration, propagation and conflict learning

- Solves industrial problems with millions of variables
- Flagship application: digital circuit design and verification Community support:

■ Standardized input language, lots of benchmarks available
■ Competitions since 2002
2014 SAT Competition: 3 categories, 79 participants with 137 solvers.
SAT Live! forum as community platform, dedicated conferences, journals, etc.
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## SMT solving

■ Modelling is hard if restricted to propositional logic

- Theory constraints express applications more naturally

■ Theories must still be solvable
Applications: verification (model checking, static analysis, termination analysis); test case generation; controller synthesis; predicate abstraction; equivalence checking; scheduling; planning; product design automation and optimisation, ...
Community support:
■ SMT-LIB as standard input language since 2004.
■ Competitions since 2005.

- SMT-COMP 2016 competition:

40 logical categories, 19 distinct solvers.
$154424(+41690)$ benchmark instances.
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## Uninterpreted functions

$a=b \wedge \neg(f(b)=f(a))$
Congruence closure
CVC4, MathSAT 5, OpenSMT2, SMTInterpol, toysmt, veriTJyibes,
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## Bitvectors

$a \mid b \leq a \& b$
Bit-blasting
ABC, Boolector, CVC4, MapleSTP, MathSAT 5, Minkeyrink, Q3B, STP, Yices, Z3

## Floating point

$$
\operatorname{sub}_{R N E}(x, y)=z
$$

Bit-blasting
MathSAT 5
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## What about existing tools?

We have

- SAT solvers (MiniSAT, Glucose, Sat4j),

■ LP solvers (CPlex, Gurobi, SCIP),
■ CAS (Maple, Mathematica, Matlab) and many more.
Just plug them together! What is the problem?

- Many theory calls that only differ slightly

■ Explanations for unsatisfiability
■ Removal of constraints
Incrementality, lemma generation, backtracking!

## At Aachen: SMT-RAT

■ Toolbox for SMT solving
■ SAT solver, many theory modules, preprocessing
■ Basic datastructures: formulas, constraints, polynomials, ... https://github.com/smtrat/smtrat/wiki
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## SMT with optimization

$$
\min f(x) \text { w.r.t. } \varphi(x)
$$

- $f$ and $\varphi$ use same theory

■ Multiobjective: lexicographic ordering
■ Straightforward for linear arithmetic ( $\nu Z$, SMT-RAT)

- More difficult for nonlinear arithmetic

■ How would $f$ look like for uninterpreted functions?
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## SMT with quantifiers

$$
\exists x_{1} . \forall x_{2} \ldots \exists x_{n} \cdot \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

- More expressive
- Easy case: small domain for $\forall$ variables
- Most decision procedure are designed for $\exists$ only
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- Portfolio
- Run multiple solvers / configurations in parallel
- As many cores as there are solvers

■ Strategic parallelization

- If solver is modularized, run modules in parallel
- In SMT-RAT: multiple theory modules in parallel
- Parallel algorithms

■ Parallelization in individual decision procedures

## Extension: Unsat cores / Proofs
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## Unsat core

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(x) \equiv \text { False where } \varphi^{\prime} \subseteq \varphi
$$

Give proof that $\neg \exists x . \varphi(x)$.

- Minimal vs. minimum

■ Meaningful measure: size? complexity?

- Proofs for humans or theorem provers?
- Proofs without encoding the whole algorithm?


## Extension: Additional theories

■ Floating point
Philipp Rümmer and Thomas Wahl. An SMT-LIB theory of binary floating-point arithmetic. In SMT'10, 2010

- Recursive functions

Clark Barrett, Pascal Fontaine, and Cesare Tinelli. The SMT-LIB Standard Version 2.6. 2015

■ Infinitesimals
Leonardo De Moura and Grant Olney Passmore. Computation in real closed infinitesimal and transcendental extensions of the rationals. In CADE-24. 2013

- Trigonometric and exponential functions

Sicun Gao, Soonho Kong, and Edmund M Clarke. dReal: An SMT solver for nonlinear theories over the reals. In CADE-24. 2013

- ... ?

